

Grinnell, Ia.  
Jan. 18, 1888.

S. Reno Watson

Dear Sir,

Your letter ~~of the~~ dated Jan. 5, mailed  
Jan. 15. is at hand. I felt sure that  
I inserted *Corydalis leucocoma* in the  
package and am very sorry that I made  
the mistake, however there can be no  
doubt that my plant is named correctly  
unless there is another *Corydalis* that is  
apparently exactly like *C. leucocoma*.

In regard to your remark about crediting  
you with <sup>naming</sup> species that I have not sent  
you, I will say that I will give  
you credit for naming only 13 species,  
some of them being only changes of  
names given to the same species in Kings  
Report. The rest are only verifications of my  
own analysis as you will find by  
reference to my printed list that probably  
reached you before or about the time

you sent the package and letter, and in  
those cases I will be responsible for the  
names. As regards to the "promised  
names of Compositae of last year's  
collection" I will say that Dr.  
Gray, in one letter in my hands, says  
that "Mr. Watson and I have looked  
over" your plants. I therefore took it  
for granted that the promise to send  
the name of the *Townsendia* was made  
by both of you.

The 13 names you send have come  
too late to insert either in the sets as  
in my printed list, therefore in the  
sets I now send you (to fulfill my  
part of the bargain) the corrections will  
not be made. A few synonyms  
in the list have been changed from  
those on the labels. Since you did  
not agree to examine any Colorado  
specimens, my additional set of interesting  
plants and 16 or 8 new specimens I do not  
send you.

I do not quite understand about  
the Carriers. W.<sup>th</sup> Booth corresponded  
with me after you showed him the  
plants and the names he gave me do  
not correspond with what you give  
in all cases for example  
150a (50a) <sup>Water</sup> l. obtusata = <sup>Booth</sup> l. filifolia  
1247 (247) l. atrata, var. erecta, = l. atrata  
1504 (504) l. lagopina var. = l. lagopina?  
1302 (302) l. <sup>pluricaulis</sup> frigida, B. & W. = l. rugulacolia, B. & W.  
141a (41a) l. Schoberi = Liddoni.

It is possible however that Mr. Booth  
has changed his mind about them.  
In the set I sent you I reserve the  
right to name any plants that  
I you have not already named.

There are two dioecious *Fernias*, several  
varieties of other species <sup>of Leguminosae</sup>, that I find no  
name for.

In case of new species that have <sup>no</sup>  
better distinguishing marks than the  
new species of *Fernia* I sent you I  
should prefer to be remembered in them.

than to have such a name given as  
you have to the *Fussia*. Thus following  
your custom with Parry, Siber, Lem-  
mon, etc, etc. If you will describe  
the *Fussia* in the Bot. Gazette I shall  
be much obliged.

I shall spend the entire season of 1880  
in southern Utah and expect to  
secure a number of new <sup>and new</sup> species.  
If you will name my doubtful  
species (that do not come within the  
~~the~~ specialities of Engelmann, Vasey,  
Bebb, Booth, Munz, Peck, James, and  
Wiley) I shall be glad to send you  
good herbarium specimens of them  
with full labels like those in the set.  
But I cannot again send a whole  
set for a few names, it is more  
than any other botanist asks.

I send you a few notes on the *Fussia*.  
I would like your opinion on the *Neillia*  
with woolly pedicels in this letter.  
Please write me on arrival of the set. If you all  
change to Boston. Yours, etc.  
Marcus S. Jones,